



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,522	02/05/2004	Junpei Ogawa	023971-0371	023971-0371 3059	
22428	7590 02/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			LUONG	LUONG, VINH	
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3682		

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/771,522	OGAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vinh T. Luong	3682		

	Vinh T. Luong	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecauce
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 20. Claim(s) objected to: 3. Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,19 and 21-25.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-18 and 26-28</u> .			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord Id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see the final rejection on September 22, 2005.			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	6	Sharmh	
		Vinh T. Luong	
	ş	Primary Examiner	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added recitation "[i]n another embodiment, a portion which is the lowest in fatigue strength exists in at least one of the big and small ends, and a portion which varies in fatigue strength exists in each of the first and second joining sections and in the connecting beam sections" in the specification raises new issues that would require further consideration. For example, the term "[i]n another embodiment" raises the issues, such as, which embodiment is the "another embodiment" that has the "portion which has the lowest in fatigue strength exists in at least one of the big and small ends, and the portion which varies in fatigue strength exists in each of the first and second joining sections and in the connecting beam sections." See the listed embodiments described in the Brief Description of the Drawings on pages 6-8 of the specification. In other words, it is unclear which original figures provide support for the "another embodiment" now described in the added recitation. See MPEP § 608.04, 608.04(a), 2163.03, and 2163.04.

Continuation of 13. Other:

- a. The information disclosure statement filed January 23, 2006, which is incorporated into the remarks in the Amendment after Final Rejection (i.e., printed publication "Review of the performance of high strength steels used offshore"), fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires the following: (1) a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office; (2) U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications listed in a section separately from citations of other documents; (3) the application number of the application in which the information disclosure statement is being submitted on each page of the list; (4) a column that provides a blank space next to each document to be considered, for the examiner's initials; and (5) a heading that clearly indicates that the list is an information disclosure statement. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- b. The information disclosure statement filed January 23, 2006, which is incorporated into the remarks in the Amendment after Final Rejection (i.e., printed publication "Review of the performance of high strength steels used offshore"), fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It (i.e., printed publication "Review of the performance of high strength steels used offshore") has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.
- c. The information disclosure statement filed January 23, 2006, which is incorporated into the remarks in the Amendment after Final Rejection (i.e., printed publication "Review of the performance of high strength steels used offshore"), fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It (i.e., printed publication "Review of the performance of high strength steels used offshore") has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Vinh T. Luong Primary Examiner